

## FACILITATOR EVALUATION FORM

Course: APEC Training on Laboratory Assessment  
 Location: Queretaro, Mexico

Date: 20-22 June 2002  
 Facilitator: Ned Gravel and Bertha Munguia

Item	Met Participant Needs?				
	1 No	2	3 OK	4	5 Yes
<b>Course Objectives:</b>	<i>√ as appropriate below</i>				
Were you given the opportunity to help define them?	0	0	0	5	12
Were they well defined?	0	0	1	3	13
Were they achieved?	0	0	3	3	11
<b>Course Content:</b>					
Was the material appropriate?	1	0	2	4	10
Complexity (1=too complex or too simple ← → Perfect=5)	0	0	9	2	6
Was the material clear to you?	1	0	4	3	11
Volume (1=too much or not enough ← → Perfect=5)	0	0	9	2	6
Did the handouts fit with this training - did they help?	1	0	0	3	13
<b>Facilitator Methods</b>					
Did the facilitator allow sufficient discussion?	0	0	0	2	15
Did the facilitator encourage participation?	0	0	0	3	14
Did the facilitator help bring out new group ideas?	0	0	0	5	12
Did the facilitator help close out discussions?	0	0	0	4	13
Would you accept this facilitator again?	0	0	1	3	13

### Comments

- Both facilitators are excellent trainers in the lab accreditation area.
- Wish there would be more training courses in different fields, for example medical testing.
- Teaching of course could aid assessors from APEC economics in eliminating TBT's.
- Training was very helpful to know what must be done.
- A lot was learned in the limited time.
- Course will help improve our process of accreditation and assessment.
- Wish that guide 58 or some of its elements could be included.
- Knew the interpretation of standards before the course date, but would like more focus and exercises specifically on assessment.
- Thanks to the facilitators for a positive and diverse knowledge and application.