

COLLATION OF PARTICIPANT COMMENTS

Training: Laboratory Accreditation Seminar

Location: Lord Beaverbrook, Moncton

Session (s): 6 Sep 2001

Facilitator(s): Ned Gravel

Item	Met Participant Needs?					Tot
	1 No	2	3 OK	4	5 Yes	
Course Objectives:	<i>√ as appropriate below</i>					
Were you given the opportunity to help define them?	0	0	0	3	11	14
Where they well defined?	0	0	0	6	9	14
Where they achieved?	0	0	1	5	8	14
Course Content:						
Was the material appropriate?	0	0	0	4	11	15
Complexity (too complex) or (too simple) <i>circle one</i>	0	0	7	6	1	15
Clarity (clear) or (fragmented/unclear) <i>circle one</i>	0	0	9	5	1	15
Volume (too much) or (not enough) <i>circle one</i>	0	0	7	5	3	15
Did the handouts fit with this training - did they help?	0	0	0	4	10	14
Facilitator Methods						
Did the facilitator allow sufficient discussion?	0	0	2	0	14	16
Did the facilitator encourage participation?	0	0	2	0	14	16
Did the facilitator help bring out new group ideas?	0	0	2	3	10	15
Did the facilitator help close out discussions?	0	0	1	3	11	15
Would you accept this facilitator again?	0	0	0	2	13	15

Major Issues for Participants

#	Issue	Potential Resolution
1	A little too general - not enough about the meatier aspects of the program (known to me since 1995).	Amend marketing of presentation to ensure participants understand that the presentation is aimed at the general laboratory population, not current members.

Other Comments:

- Very knowledgeable.